

NO-A105 078

MAXIE 1 (MAGNETOSPHERIC ATMOSPHERIC X-RAY IMAGING
EXPERIMENT) PROGRAM. (U) LOCKHEED MISSILES AND SPACE CO
INC PALO ALTO CA PALO ALTO RES. W L INHOFF ET AL
25 SEP 07 LHSC/0068447 N00014-07-C-0050

1/1

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 22/2

NL



10

11

125

14

16

AD-A185 878

✓ DTIC FILE USED

(2)

DTIC
ELECTRONIC
S OCT 15 1987 D

87 10 8 074.

AD-A85878

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) None		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 1215 Jefferson Street, Building 100 Arlington, Virginia 22204-4302		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING SPONSORING AGENCY	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Contract Nonr014-87-C-0050	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO
		TASK NO	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.
11. Title (Include Security Classification)			
MAXIE-1 Instrument Report for Period 1 July 1987 - 30 Sept 1987			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) William L. Jones, Henry D. Chien, Victor			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical Report	13b. TIME COVERED FROM 1 Jul 87 TO 30 Sept 87	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1987, Sept 25	15. PAGE COUNT 7
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
17. CATION CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	SUB GROUP	
		Satellite Flight	
		X-ray Imaging	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)			
<p>The satellite flight of the MAXIE-1 (Magnetospheric Atmospheric X-ray Imaging Experiment) instrument is being implemented under ONR (the Office of Naval Research) sponsorship. The MAXIE-1 instrument is being developed as a joint activity of Lockheed, the Aerospace Corporation, and the University of Bergen; much of the Lockheed development has been done under the Independent Research Program. Under ONR sponsorship that institution is responsible for managing the program, for providing spacecraft interface requirements, for providing interface electronics for conditioning sensor signals, for developing test software and for conducting environmental tests needed for flight. This report describes some of the interface activities undertaken in the last three months, including meetings at NASA and at ONR.</p>			
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL

Preface

The MAXIE-1 instrument is being developed as a joint activity of Lockheed, the Aerospace Corporation, and the University of Bergen. By mutual agreement the MAXIE-1 fabrication effort is being split approximately equally among these three co-investigator institutions. Many of the concepts in MAXIE-1 were developed since 1984 under an LMSC continuing Independent Research program. The detailed design and fabrication of the instrument at Lockheed is being completed under the continuing Independent Research Program.

The satellite flight of MAXIE-1 as the ONR-401 experiment is being implemented under the present contract with ONR. In this contract Lockheed has overall responsibility for the activities required to test in satellite flight the MAXIE-1 instrument. The program management activities include the interface with appropriate government agencies. Under ONR sponsorship, Lockheed is also responsible for conducting environmental tests needed for flight and the development of spacecraft interface requirements. Aerospace is responsible for procuring and testing the sensors, for design and fabrication of part of the mechanical configuration, and for development of the ground support equipment. The prime responsibilities of the University of Bergen center around the electronic controlled motion systems. These activities at the Aerospace Corporation and at the University of Bergen are funded by separate sources. Additional instrument development items in MAXIE-1 for the ONR-401 flight experiment, such as a microprocessor interface for the on-orbit operations of a satellite-borne x-ray imaging experiment, are being funded by NASA headquarters to Lockheed.



A-1

The following activities are being pursued under the present contract;

- 1) management of the program with responsibility for interfacing with the appropriate government agencies
- 2) provide interface electronics for on-board conditioning of sensor signals
- 3) development of the software for test and calibration of the flight units
- 4) perform environment and systems tests for the ONR-401 experiment

Introduction

An Accommodation Study was completed by RCA in 1986 to determine the feasibility and impacts of including the OAK-401 experiment on the NOAA-I or -J spacecraft. The primary goal of the missions of Opportunity Accommodation study was to include the OAK-401 experiment with a minimum of change to the existing spacecraft design. Analysis indicated that the MAXIE-1 payload can be accommodated without exceeding the specification for spacecraft pointing and jitter, and with very little effect on magnetic momentum unloading. Subsequently, in Jan. 1987 another meeting was held at RCA to discuss interface matters.

Activities in the Last Quarter

A Data Management meeting was held on June 26, 1987 at NOAA in Suitland, Maryland to discuss data requirements for the NOAA I and J Missions of Opportunity. Participating in the meeting were the experimenters, NOAA and STP representatives. The MAXIE needs were presented by D. Datlowe and V. Chinn of Lockheed. Representatives of the EHIC and RAIDS experiments also presented their requirements. Several Action Items were generated, seven relating to MAXIE but only two involved LPARL. The latter two are:

- * MAXIE needs to specify formally if there is a requirement to have coincident SEM data. Response: the importance associated with particle spectrometer data has been written and sent to Bruce Needham as requested.

* Serial digital commanding requirements need to be resolved with RCA and NASA. Response: This has been resolved in that no serial commands are available.

A follow-on meeting took place on September 17, 1987 at NOAA. The purpose of this meeting was to review the information NOAA has and to formulate a plan to commence software design in FY88. Dr. D. V. Datlowe was the representative of the MAXIE experiment at this meeting. It was stated by NOAA representatives at the meeting that data meetings of this type will be held routinely three to four times per year. Also, a meeting to discuss the expected on-orbit commanding and orbital operations is to be held within the next two months.

Three members of LPARL (H. D. Voss, V. Chinn and M. Hilsenrath) and three members from the Aerospace Corp. participated in a meeting on July 14, 1987 at RCA to clarify various interface matters. Several action items were generated. Some of the key action items for the MAXIE experiment team are summarized below;

- 1) Supply RCA with a MAXIE Instrument to Spacecraft interface wiring list, specifying connectors and pin assignments;

Response: We have submitted a preliminary wiring list to NASA/GSFC and RCA.

- 2) Provide drawings showing the MAXIE scan and stowed positions;

Response: We are still within the physical envelope established in our March, 1987, drawings which RCA found to be acceptable. We are finalizing the drawings so that we can submit them to NASA/GSFC and RCA.

- 3) Provide continuous power profile and turn on power curve;

Response: We are still working on our power requirements. (We are requesting 4 watts more of power so that we can use thermoelectric elements and eliminate the radiators).

4) Provide thermal model;

Response: Lockheed is requesting additional information from RCA on the thermal model they made on MAXIE, so that we can revise our present model.

Three members of the co-investigator group at the University of Bergen in Norway were in residence at the LPARL Laboratory, beginning July 14, 1987 and two remained until Aug. 12, 1987. They brought their prototype motion system to LPARL and demonstrated that their design was feasible. The system operated satisfactorily in an open loop mode. It still needs to be tested in a closed loop mode.

We also tested the Motion System / MAXIE Instrument interface successfully; proper signals and commands were sent and received.

On August 25 a meeting was held at LPARL between four members of the Aerospace Corp. group and several people from Lockheed to review the status of MAXIE. All aspects of the hardware program were reviewed in the day long meeting, and some simplifications in design were developed. Responsibilities of the group were further refined, particularly with respect to the fabrication of mechanical drawings.

END

12-87

DTIC